TOOL DESCRIPTION

Group Formation with Mini Lab





The Mini Lab is a method used to form small groups so that they get to know each other quickly and establish trust right away.

Each group should consist of 4 people. These so-called 'peer groups' then complete subsequent tasks or will work together in the future.

The Mini Labs method is special because it breaks the ice. This smoothes communication and helps to form groups effectively, thus enabling open exchange.

By using a specific session design centered around guiding discussion questions, trust can more easily be established within a group. The members can get to know each other better and more quickly, allowing them to form a group identity in a short period of time, thereby accelerating team development.

Intimacy in the small group creates a climate of respect that allows trust to grow. By simultaneously talking about personal issues, weaknesses, problems and reflecting on these, relationships can be established. Timeboxing helps to boil the content down to the essentials.

This method is useful for project kick-off workshops or leadership trainings.

Duration	Participants	Facilitation
45 min	no restriction	no special material needed

integratedconsulting.eu SOURCE: Several

Group Formation with Mini Lab | **Process**

Group formation Discussion **Evaluation** Calculation Feedback Debriefing 10-30 min 2 min 21 min 3 min 5 min 5 min Form small mixed groups The moderator asks the The moderator asks the Calculate the group Encourage feedback and Sharing in the plenary: with a maximum of 4-6 answer further questions What did I think of this groups to discuss group members to average and evaluate how open the exercise? answers to questions (7 communicate this to large (if time allows). people. discussion was in the minutes per question). group. group, ranging from 0% Examples: 3 questions are provided: (all lied) to 100% (all were What was your first honest). Every person impression of me What was my best gives their assessment. group experience? (each person has the opportunity to choose What was my worst 2 group members to group experience? give feedback)? • On a scale of 1–100: Who has had the most How open were we influence in the group with each other right so far? Everyone now? awards 3 influence points to the others. Discussion: What do we expect from each other and from a good collaboration?